MATERNAL INHERITANCE OF KERNEL SIZE IN THE OIL PALM, Elaeis guineensis JACQ

KEYWORDS: Elaeis guineensis; Kernel size; Sexdetermined inheritance; Extrachromosomal gene(s); Oil palm breeding

OKWUAGWU C O and OKOLO E C *

study of the inheritance of kernel size in the oil palm, Elaeis guineensis Jacq., was conducted using the wide range of crosses between forms from the NIFOR breeding programme.

Estimates of narrow sense heritability (h²) using parent offspring regression analyses were carried out for 24 dura (D) x dura (D), 13 dura (D) selfings, 49 tenera (T) x tenera (T), 24 tenera (T) selfings, 33 dura (D) x tenera (T) and 30 tenera (T) x dura (D) crosses.

Comparable levels of estimates were obtained for mid-parent-offspring comparisons of both dura (D) and tenera (T) offsprings in all crosses except in $T \times D$. For individual parent-offspring comparisons in $D \times D$, $D \times T$ and $T \times D$ crosses, the female parents determined the kernel size of both the dura and tenera offspring. This sex-determined inheritance of kernel size was not apparent in $T \times T$ crosses.

The inheritance of kernel size in the oil palm was considered to be determined by extrachromosomal gene(s) (plasmagenes) present in the endosperms of both dura and tenera fruit forms but their expression may require interaction with gene(s) present in the dura form.

INTRODUCTION

The oil palm, *Elaeis guineensis* Jacq. is characterized by polymorphism in its fruit composition. The presence or absence of shell in the fruit, determined by a single major gene with incomplete dominance, forms the basis for fruit form classification (Beinaert and Vanderweyen, 1941). The thick-

Nigerian Institute for Oil Palm Research Benin City, Nigeria

Original manuscript received on 11 January 1992

shelled *dura* fruit form is homozygous dominant for the shell gene (*DD*) while the shell-less *pisifera* fruit form is homozygous recessive (*dd*). The thin-shelled *tenera* fruit form is heterozygous for the shell gene (*Dd*). Along with differences in shell characteristics of these three forms, there are also differences in fruit size, and kernel and mesocarp to fruit ratios. The *dura* form has generally larger fruits, characterized by larger kernels and thinner mesocarps than the *tenera* form. The shell-less *pisifera*, which rarely produces mature bunches, has fruits with little or no kernel and also has a high frequency of parthenocarpy and immature fruits. The variation in fruit composition among the three forms has been studied by Sparnaaij *et al.* (1963).

Fruit components, generally known not to be affected by environmental changes, are therefore considered highly heritable traits with selection traditionally based on phenotypic values of the parents. However, limited published estimates of heritability for kernel size tend to vary for the different forms. In estimating heritability using regression of parent on offspring, Menendez and Blaak (1964) obtained slightly different values for dura and tenera parents when compared with their dura and tenera offsprings respectively. Different values were also obtained for dura parents with their tenera offspring in dura x pisifera crosses. The average value of heritability for kernel to fruit ratio was found to be approximately 61 per cent. Meunier et al. (1970), using regression of mid-parent on offspring, in a population of 96 Deli x tenera and tenera x Deli crosses, did not obtain the same kernel to fruit ratio for dura offspring as for tenera offspring. While 65% was obtained for tenera offspring, 29% was obtained for dura offspring. Van der Vossen (1974) obtained 67% and 60% respectively for kernel to fruit ratio in tenera x tenera and dura x tenera crosses by regressing mid-parent value on *tenera* offspring; they concluded that inter-fruit form comparisons for fruit components were less correlated than intra-fruit form comparisons.

The objective of the present study was to analyse the various intra and inter-fruit form crosses derived from the first cycle of the reciprocal recurrent selection (RRS) breeding programme of the Nigerian Institute for Oil Palm Research (NIFOR), with a view to establishing the mode of inheritance for kernel size in the oil palm.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

crosses from the first cycle reciprocal recurrent selection (RRS) oil palm breeding programme of NIFOR, Benin City, Nigeria, were analysed for this study. The population was particularly suitable for the purpose as dura x tenera/tenera x dura test crosses were carried out simultaneously, along with dura x dura, tenera x tenera recombinants as well as dura and tenera selfings for future seed production. The details of the six types of crosses evaluated are presented in Table 1.

Fruit analysis by weight percentages as described by Blaak et al. (1963) was carried out on an individual palm basis. The parents were evaluated over a long period of time, from the early 1950s through the 1960s. Between 15 and 49 analyses were carried out on each parent and used to determine parental value. For the progenies, the average number of analyses per palm was between 3.5 and 6.2. The progeny means for the different fruit forms were determined based on the evaluation of between 15 and 39 palms. Parent-offspring regression analysis was then carried out between each parent/midparent value on progeny mean value for each segregating fruit form. Narrow sense heritability was estimated as twice the regression coefficient for single parent-offspring analysis and by the regression coefficient for the mid-parent-offspring analysis (Falconer, 1960). The level of significance of the estimates was determined by a calculated Studentt estimated as $t = b/s_b$, where b is the regression coefficient and s_b is the standard error.

RESULTS

The mean kernel to fruit ratio (% K/F) and the coefficient of variation for parents and offsprings in the different types of crosses are presented in *Table 2*. The *tenera* progeny % K/F in all segregating crosses gave lower values than the *dura* sibs. The *tenera* mean % K/F as a fraction of *dura* mean % K/F was similar for *tenera* x *tenera* and *tenera* selfed progenies (0.77 and 0.78 respectively) and for *tenera* x *dura* and *dura* x *tenera* crosses (0.81 and 0.86 respectively).

The narrow sense heritability (h^2) estimated by parent-off spring regression for the different crosses is presented in *Table 3*. In *dura* x *dura* crosses, h^2

TABLE 1. SUMMARY OF THE SIX INTER AND INTRA FRUIT FORM CROSS TYPES EVALUATED

| Type of Cross | Number of Crosses | Year Planted | Average Bunch Analysis Per Palm — | | mber of palms Per Progeny |
|----------------|----------------------|--------------|---|------|---------------------------|
| | | | 1 ei 1 ann | Dura | Tenera |
| Dura X Dura | 24 | 1960 - 63 | 3.5 | 39.2 | - |
| Dura Selfed | 13 | 1962 - 65 | 4.1 | 22.1 | - |
| TeneraX Tenera | 49 | 1960 - 63 | 6.2 | 19.4 | 32.6 |
| Tenera Selfed | 24 | 1960 - 64 | 5.7 | 15.6 | 30.4 |
| Dura X Tenera | 33 | 1962 - 64 | 4.4 | 20.1 | 20.3 |
| Tenera X Dura | 30 | 1962 - 64 | 4.0 | 20.4 | 20.1 |

estimated by the female parent is higher (0.56) than the value estimated by the male parent (0.14), but is similar to the h² estimate obtained for *dura* selfings (0.60). In segregating *tenera* x *tenera* crosses, female and male parents gave similar h² estimates (0.45 and 0.46 respectively) for the *dura* offspring. Similar h² estimates for *tenera* offspring (0.61 and 0.67 respectively) were also obtained for both parents. The h² estimates for *tenera* offspring of the *tenera* x *tenera* crosses were higher than those obtained for *dura* offspring for either the female or male parents or for the mid-parent value. Similar estimates were obtained for intra-form comparisons of mid-parents to offspring in *dura* x *dura*, *dura* selfings, *tenera* x *tenera* and *tenera* selfings.

For dura x tenera crosses with dura as the female parent, very high h² estimates were obtained for both dura and tenera offsprings (0.74 and 0.91) respectively). However, with the tenera as male parent, very low values (0.14 and 0.17 respectively) were obtained for dura and tenera offsprings. Heritability estimates for mid-parent values were also low and similar for either dura or tenera offspring (0.27 and 0.33 respectively). For the reciprocal tenera x dura crosses, using tenera as the female parent, h² estimated by the tenera female parent on dura offspring was high (0.60) and higher for tenera offspring (1.22). With the dura male parent, h² estimated were much lower and similar (0.40 and 0.38 respectively) for dura and tenera offsprings. Regression with mid-parent value in tenera x dura crosses gave higher h² value for tenera offspring (0.94) than for *dura* offspring (0.54). The higher h^2

estimates associated with intra-fruit form comparison which were apparent in *tenera* x *tenera* crosses and *tenera* selfings were not seen in *dura* x *tenera* crosses. They were only obvious between *tenera* parent and *tenera* offspring in *tenera* x *dura* crosses.

DISCUSSION

The regression of parent on offspring to estimate narrow sense heritability (h²) is based on the assumptions of normal diploid Mendelian inheritance, no environmental correlation among relative, population in linkage equilibrium, the relatives noninbred, and the genetic population mating at random. Heritability estimates by this method tend to be inflated if there is environmental correlation among relatives (Vogel et al. 1980). Since estimates are valid, both when parents are selected or are chosen at random from a population, this method of estimating heritability is favoured in evaluating breeding populations (Falconer, 1960).

Though parent-offspring regression methods have been used to estimate heritability for kernel to fruit ratio in the past, most often mid-parent values were used. The estimates for this ratio obtained by Menendez and Blaak (1964) and Van der Vossen (1974) (0.61 and 0.66) are quite comparable with the estimates of 0.54 and 0.56 obtained in this study for both *dura* x *dura* and *tenera* x *tenera* crosses.

The earlier work of Meunier *et al.*, (1970) and Van der Vossen (1974) which showed that inter-fruit form comparisons for kernel to fruit ratio were less correlated than intra-fruit form comparisons is fur-

TABLE 2. THE MEANS AND THE COEFFICIENTS OF VARIATION (CV%) OF KERNEL TO FRUIT RATIO (% K/F) FOR THE PARENTS AND OFFSPRING IN DIFFERENT TYPES OF CROSSES

| | | | | Parent | | | | | | Offspring | | |
|--|----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| Type of Cross | No. of Crosses | Female Mean %K/F | \$ 6 | Male Mean %K/F | % G | Mid-Parent V Mean %K/F | % رح | Dura Mean %K/F | % & | Tenera Mean %K/F | % C | Tenera %K/F As Fraction of Dura %K/F |
| Dura X Dura Dura Selfed Tenera X Tenera Tenera Selfed Dura X Tenera Tenera X Dura | 24 13 49 24 33 | 11.5 11.0 7.0 7.6 11.6 | 13 13 34 35 10 22 | 10.7 6.8 7.5 10.8 | 10 - 24 - 33 111 | 11.1 6.9 9.5 8.5 | 22 - 22 - 14 11 | 11.6 10.9 10.8 10.1 11.3 | 10 12 13 11 10 | 8.4 8.0 8.0 9.7 8.7 | 16 27 12 16 | - 0.77 0.78 0.86 0.81 |

TABLE 3. PARENT-OFFSPRING REGRESSION FOR KERNEL-TO-FRUIT RATIO (%K/F) IN DIFFERENT TYPES OF CROSSES

| Time of Cross | 2 | Descript | | | Offspring | 1g | | |
|-----------------|----|---------------|--------|-------|-------------------------|--------|------------------|-----------------|
| type of Closs | 5 | 1 4 611 | | Dura | | | Tenera | , |
| | | | đ | h^2 | t = b s _b | q | \mathbf{h}^{2} | ተ = - |
| Dura X Dura | 24 | Female | 0.2792 | 0.56 | 1.829NS | | | |
| | 24 | Male | 0.0706 | 0.14 | 0.309NS | ı | ı | 1 |
| | 24 | Mid-parent | 0.5424 | 0.54 | 1.9385NS | | ı | |
| Dura Selfed | 13 | Female = Male | 0.5961 | 09:0 | 2.1986* | 1 | 1 | , |
| Tenera X Tenera | 49 | Female | 0.225 | 0.45 | 3.0907** | 0.3072 | 0.61 | 6.8725** |
| | 49 | Male | 0.2323 | 0.46 | 1.9358NS | 0.3346 | 0.67 | 2.902** |
| | 49 | Mid-parent | 0.4087 | 0.41 | 3.6556*** | 0.5591 | 0.56 | 5.7051*** |
| Tenera Selfed | 24 | Female= Male | 0.5366 | 0.54 | 2.9162*** | 0.6701 | 0.67 | 3.2217*** |
| Dura X Tenera | 33 | Dura Female | 0.3681 | 0.74 | 2.1539* | 0.4542 | 0.91 | 2.8388** |
| | 33 | Tenera Male | 0.0703 | 0.14 | 0.8174NS | 0.0859 | 0.17 | 1.0263NS |
| | 33 | Mid-parent | 0.2739 | 0.27 | 1.8055NS | 0.3286 | 0.33 | 2.2678* |
| Tenera X Dura | 30 | Tenera Female | 0.2983 | 09.0 | 2.6375* | 0.6108 | 1.22 | 5.1378*** |
| | 30 | Dura Male | 0.2002 | 0.40 | 1.2053NS | 0.1898 | 0.38 | 0.8627NS |
| | 30 | Mid-parent | 0.8347 | 0.54 | 3.008** | 0.9363 | 0.94 | 4.1509*** |

NS = Not Significant
*; ** and *** = significant at 5%, 1% and 0.1% levels respectively

ther confirmed in this study only for tenera parents and their segregating tenera offspring in tenera x tenera and tenera x dura crosses, and tenera selfings. This was not the case with tenera offspring and tenera parent in the reciprocal dura x tenera crosses. A higher heritability estimate for intra-fruit form comparison of dura parents in dura x tenera or tenera x dura crosses was not evident, thus indicating some basic difference between the dura and tenera forms in the transmission of this trait in the oil palm. The difference was further exemplified by the results obtained from dura x dura and tenera x tenera crosses. In dura x dura crosses, the transmission of the trait is influenced by the sex of the parent, and the % K/F of the dura offspring is, to a greater extent, determined more by the female parent than by the male parent.

In inter-fruit form dura x tenera crosses where the dura form is the female parent, the effect of the dura parent is as in dura x dura crosses, with the female parent determining the % K/F of both the dura and tenera offsprings. The tenera male parent in dura x tenera crosses behaves similarly to the dura male parent in dura x dura crosses. Similarly, in the reciprocal tenera x dura crosses with tenera as female and dura as male parent, the female tenera parent also determines the % K/F of the dura and tenera offspring.

Earlier reports have been based on mid-parent comparison, so that possible sex determined inheritance for kernel-to-fruit ratio could not be evaluated as has been done in this study. The sex determined behaviour of the dura parent in dura x dura, dura x tenera and tenera x dura crosses for dura and tenera offsprings is similar to that of the tenera parent in dura x tenera and tenera x dura crosses. In the oil palm, the kernel is the endosperm. Maternal influence of the endosperm on phenotypic expression of traits by offspring is commonly attested in the literature. Offsprings subjected to different maternal environments during the sensitive period of development may show differences in phenotype in reciprocal crosses. Thus even if the contributions of male and female parents to the genome and plasmon of offspring are equal, the female parent, because of its special contribution to the early development of the embryo, may still exert a greater influence on its phenotype. This special contribution to the early development may be in the form of activities of extrachromosomal genes (plasmagenes), leading to the differences in phenotype observed in some reciprocal crosses.

It is also known that extrachromosomal systems in certain instances may be influenced by chromosomal genes which may alter the stability of their components, as in the case of the recessive *iojap* gene, which gives rise to white striped maize plants when homozygous (Jinks, 1964). In such cases, expected differences in reciprocal crosses may not be observed but deviation may remain consistent.

Heritability estimates obtained on regression of female dura on both dura and tenera offsprings in all crosses involving dura showed obvious maternal influence on the inheritance of % K/F. In tenera x tenera crosses, heritability estimates obtained did not show such an influence. However, in tenera x dura crosses with dura as the male parent, there was obvious maternal influence of the tenera on the offspring for the character. It would then appear that the factors responsible for the expression of the maternal influence by the tenera parent resulted from some interaction of extrachromosomal gene(s) with chromosomal gene(s) present only in male dura gamete but not in the tenera male gamete.

Concluding, therefore, inheritance of kernel size in the oil palm is sex determined. It may be attributed to plasmagenes of the maternal parent of both *dura* and *tenera* fruit forms but its expression may be obvious only in the presence of chromosomal gene(s) of *dura*. This may be described as a form of uniparental inheritance.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

W e wish to express our gratitude to the Director of NIFOR, Dr D O Ataga, for his permission to publish this paper.

REFERENCES

BEIRNAERT, A and VANDERWEYEN, R (1941). Contribution a l'etude genetique et biometrique des varietes d' Elaeis guineensis Jacq. Publ. Inst. Nat. Etude Agron. Congo Belge, Ser. Sci. 27, p. 101.

BLAAK, G; SPARNAAIJ, L D and MENENDEZ, T (1963). Breeding and inheritance in the oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) Part 2: Methods of bunch quality analysis. *J. of WAIFOR 4*, 146-155.

FALCONER, D S (1960). *Introduction to Quantitative Genetics*. Oliver and Boyd, Edinburg and London, p. 365.

JINKS, J L (1964). *Extrachromosomal Inheritance*. Prentice-Hall Inc. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, p. 177.

MENENDEZ, TM and BLAAK, G (1964). WAIFOR Twelfth Annual Report, 1963-1964, pp. 68-69.

MEUNIER, J; GASCON, J P and NOIRET, J M (1970). Heredite des characteristiques du regime d'

Elaeis guineensis Jacq. en Cote d'Ivoire. Oleagineux, 25: 377-382.

SPARNAAIJ, L D; MENENDEZ, T M and BLAAK, G (1963). Breeding and inheritance in the oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) Part I: The design of a breeding programme. *J. WAIFOR 4*: 126-145.

Van der VOSSEN, H A M (1974). Towards more efficient selection for oil yield in the oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*, Jacq.) Thesis, University of Wagneningen, 107 pp.

VOGEL, KP; HUSKINS, FA and CORZ, HJ (1980). Parent-progeny regression in Indian grass: Inflation of heritability estimates by environmental co-variances. *Crop Sci.*, 20: 580-582.