PERFORMANCE OF Elaeis oleifera FROM PANAMA, COSTA RICA, COLOMBIA AND HONDURAS IN MALAYSIA

Keywords: *Elaeis* oleifera, Panama, Costa Rica, Colombia, Honduras, yield, bunch components, vegetative traits, fatty acid composition, iodine value, total carotene.

MOHD DIN, A*; RAJANAIDU, N* and JALANI, B **S***

* Malaysian Palm Oil Board,
 P.O. Box 10620. 50720 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia,

he performance of Elaeis oleifera from Panama, Costa Rica, Colombia and Honduras was evaluated in a trial at PORIM Research Station, Kluang, Johor, Malaysia. FFB yield, bunch components, vegetative traits, fatty acid composition, iodine value $(I,V_{.})$ and total carotene were analysed. Among the oleifera progenies, the highest fresh fruit bunch (FFB) yield was 101.4 kg palm-' γr^{-1} , total oil to bunch (TOTB) was highest at 9.0%, height increment rate (HTI) lowest at 4.6 cm γr^{-1} I.V. highest at 96.7 and total carotene highest at 3455.5 ppm. There were significant differences between the four countries for the yield components: average bunch weight (ABWT), parthenocarpic bunch components: mean fruit weight (MFW), oil to wet mesocarp (OTWM) and oil to bunch (OTB), fertile bunch components: MFW, mean nut weight (MNW) and shell to fruit (STF). vegetative traits: petiole cross section (PCS), rachis length (RL), leaflet length (LL), leaflet width (LW), height (HT) and leaf area (LA), component fatty acids: C14:0, C16:0, C16:1, C18:0, C18:1 and C18:2, I.V. and total carotene.

INTRODUCTION

E laeis oleifera (HBK) Cortes is the South/Central American counterpart of the commercially propagated African oil palm, *E. guineensis Jacq.* In nature, it occurs in poorly drained or occasionally flooded places in its centre of origin (de Blank, 1952). Among the traits of interest of this species are its low HTI, high I.V. (Hardon, 19691, tolerance against some major diseases (Meunier, 1987) and high carotene content (Choo et al., 1997; Choo and Yusof, 1996).

A programme to collect *E* oleifera in the natural groves of Costa Rica, Panama and Colombia was initiated by the United Brands Company in Central America in 1967. This species was collected for evaluation and utilization. Collections in Colombia. Panama and Costa Rica and subsequent introductions to Malaysia were made by oil palm breeders from Socfin, Oil Palm Genetics Laboratory (OPGL) and United Plantations Berhad (UPB) in 1967, 1969 and 1980, respectively. Between October 1981 and February 1982, the Palm Oil Research Institute of Malaysia (PORIM) collected samples in Suriname, Honduras, Nicaragua, Panama, Costa Rica. and Colombia (Rajanaidu, 1983; Rajanaidu et al., 1989).

The performance in terms of yield, bunch components, vegetative traits of the palms and the fatty acid composition, I.V. and total carotene content of the oil of the PORIM *E. oleifera* collections from Panama, Costa Rica, Colombia and Honduras is presented in this paper. nies/replication at eight to ten palms/progeny were laid out in the field in a triangular planting pattern of 8.4 m spacing.

Recording of yield and its components commenced from the fifth year after planting and continued for six years. The number and weight of harvested fruit bunches from each palm were recorded during each seven- to ten-day interval harvesting round. From the fifth to eighth year of the trial, individual fruit bunches were also analysed in the laboratory to determine the composition of bunches and their oils. The bunch analysis technique adopted was that developed by Blaak et al. (1963) at NIFOR and modified by Rao et al. (1983) for standard use in Malaysia. Fatty acid composition, I.V. and total carotene ofmesocarp oil were also analysed using established techniques (PORIM, 1995). Vegetative traits were measured in the eleventh year using the non-destructive methods recommended by Corley and Breure (1981).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The *oleiferas* collected from open-pollinated families in Panama, Costa Rica, Honduras and Colombia in 1982 were planted in a completely randomized design (CRD) in three replications at PORIM Research Station, Kluang, Johor, Malaysia in May 1986. A total of 77 to 93 proge-

The trial mean of the 95 *oleifera* progenies was 76.5 kg palm⁻¹yr⁻¹ for FFB yield with 7.5 bunches and 10.1 kg ABWT. *Oleiferas* from Panama were the highest for FFB (79.2 kg palm⁻¹ yr⁻¹) and bunch number or BNO (7.9 bunches palm⁻¹ yr⁻¹) whereas *oleiferas* from Colombia were highest for ABWT at 10.8 kg (*Table 1a*). The individual progeny with the highest FFB (101.4 kg palm⁻¹ yr⁻¹) was from Honduras, whereas the progeny

TABLE 1a. MEANS, R	ANGES AN	ND STANDA	RD DEV	/IATION	NS OF Y	IELD
(and components) OF	Oleifera P	ROGENIES	FROM	FOUR	COUNT	RIES

Trait	_	Color	nbia			Panama		С	osta Rica		H	onduras		Trial
	Меал	Ra	nge	S D	Меаг	n Range	SD	Mea	n Range	S D	Mean	Range	e SD	m e a n
FFB (kg palm ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	77.7a	41.6	98.0	13.3	79.2a	52.5-100.1	11.8	61.8a 2	23.7-x.2	16.6	74.4a 55.	2-101.4	15.20	76.5
BNO (No. palm ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	7.1a	3.8	8 9.1	1.2	7.9a	6.0-9.5	0.9	7.1a	2.7-9.7	1.8	7.1a	5.6-9.1	1.20	7.5
ABWT (kg)	10.8a	9.2	13.9	0.9	9.7a	8.0-10.8	0.7	9.8a	7.1-17.4	2.0	9.9a	9.2-10.7	0.50	10.1

Notes:

Means with the same letter in the same row are not significantly different at P<0.05 by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT). Number of progenies (n) = 28, 24, 38 and 5 far Colombia, Panama, Costa Rica and Honduras, respectively.

Recorded from 1991 to 1997 in Trial 0.211, planted in 1986 in Kluang.

FFB fresh fruit bunch.

BNO bunch number.

ABWT average bunch weight.

ESTIMATES	FROM	NCM1	ANALY	SIS FO	R YIELD COMPONE	ENTS
Source		df		FFB	BNO	ABWT
Replication		2	491	334.20	4 211.68	78.34
country (C)		3	5	336.05	43.91	249.74**
Progeny/Country (P/C)		72	2	983.30	23.32	20.49**
C x R		6	1	814.90	12.51	9.57
P/C x R		144	2	304.08	18.04	4.31
Palms (W)	1	782	1	971.09	16.53	4.61
Pooled error (WP)	1	926	1	995.99	16.64	4.58
σ^2_{c}				10.40	0.20	0.82
				(0.50	(1.14)	(13.43)
$\sigma^2_{p/c}$				26.43	0.21	0.63
				(1.28)	(1.20)	(10.32)
σ^2_{er}				0	0	0.06
				(0)	(0)	(0.98)
$\sigma^2_{(n/c)r}$				38.87	0.18	0
(he)				(1.88)	(1.02)	(0)
σ^2_{w}						
σ^2_{\dots}			1	995.99	16.64	4.58
wp				(96.35)	(96.65)	(75.33)
h_c^2				2.00	4.56	53.72
h_{p}^{2}				5.12	4.80	41.28
h^2_{c+p}				3.56	4.68	47.50

TABLE Ib. MEAN	SQUARES,	VARIANCE	COMPONENT	S AND	HERITABILITY
ESTIMATES	FROM NCM	11 ANALYSIS	FOR YIELD	COMP	ONENTS

*, ** Significant at $P \le 0.05$ and $P \le 0.01$ respectively, otherwise non-significant.

()⁺ Variance component as percentage of phenotypic variance.

Harmonic mean for palms in progeny/rep (n') and P/C (p') = 5.09 and 3.18, respectively

Negative estimate for which the most reasonable value is zero.

Recorded from 1991 to 1997 in Trial 0.211, planted in 1986 in Kluang.

with the highest BNO (9.7 palm-i yr^{-1}) and that with the highest ABWT (17.4 kg) were from Costa Rica. Analysis of the variation showed significant differences between the *oleiferas* from the four countries for ABWT, but not for FFB and BNO **(Table 1b)**. The heritability values, h^2_{c} based on countries as populations were 2.0%, 4.6% and 53.7% for FFB, BNO and ABWT, respectively.

For bunch components, values for oil to dry mesocarp (OTDM) at 31.4%, OTWM at 10.5% and fruit to bunch (FTB) at 16.8% of parthenocarpic fruits compared to fertile fruits with OTDM at 35.1%, OTWM at 15.7% and FTB at 31.6% gave a lower parthenocarpic OTB at 1.5% than fertile OTB at 1.8% in the 62 *oleifera* progenies (*Table 2a*). The absence of nut and kernel in parthenocarpic fruits meant smaller fruits at a MFW of 1.2 g compared to fertile MFW at 3.2 g. The *oleiferas were* generally thick-shelled with the STF ranging from 43.3% to 61.1%. Analysis of variance showed significant differences between the four countries for parthenocarpic MFW, OTWM and OTB, with h^2_{c} of 13.9%, 16.9% and 10.8% respectively (*Table* 2b). Significant differences were observed for fertile MFW, MNW and STF, with h^2_{c} of 14.1%, 30.2% and 40.1%, respectively.

Oleiferas from Costa Rica gave the highest TOTB, oil palm⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (OPY), kernel palm-i yr⁻¹ (KPY), total economic product (TEP) and total oil (TOTOIL) with values of 3.9%, 2.9, 3.4, 5.0 and 4.7 kg palm⁻¹ yr⁻¹ respectively (*Table 3*). The best progenies for TOTB, OPY, KPY,

	BUN		NEN13					JUR COUNT	RIES		
Trait		Colombia			Panama			Costa Rica		Honduras	Trial
	Mean	Range	SD	Mean	Range	SD	Mean	Range	SD	mean	mean
Fertile fruits:											
MFW (g)	3.1b	1.6 - 4.5	0.5	3.3ab	2.1-5.4	0.8	3.4ab	2.1-4.1	0.6	4.1a	3.2
MNW (g)	2.0b	1.1-3.1	0.4	2.1b	1.4 - 3.6	0.5	2.1b	1.3-2.7	0.4	2.9a	2.0
MTF (%)	35.8a	29.6-42.	1 3.2	34.4ab	27.4-39.4	2.9	36.1a	29.7-48.8	4.4	29.91,	35.5
KTF (%)	16.2a	10.5 - 17.5	1.8	13.2a	10.4 - 17.2	1.7	13.9a	8.0-35.4	5.3	15.6a	14.1
STF (%)	48.0a	44.8-55.	1 2.5	52.4a	48.3 - 61.1	2.6	51.4a	43.3-56.3	2.9	54.5a	51.2
OTDM (%)	33.9a	18.6-44.	2 7.2	36.0a	20.7 - 49.9	6.9	38.2a	27.9 - 51.6	5.8	33.5a	35.1
OTWM (%)	14.6a	5.9-22.	3 5.1	17.2a	6.0 - 32.2	5.8	3 17.1a	8.5-26.9	4.5	13.8a	15.7
FTB (%)	29.0a	16.7-40.7	7.3	30.1a	20.3 38.5	6.0	32.2a	14.9-50.6	8.7	29 .2a	31.6
OTB (%)	1.5a	0.5 - 2.9	0.7	2.1a	0.5 3.8	0.8	3.9a	0.9-3.7 0	. 8	1.2a	1.8
KTB (%)	4.6a	1.9-6.6	1.3	3.9a	2.5 5.7	0.9	2.0a	1.6-12.9	2.4	4.6a	4.5
Parthenocarpic	fruits:										
MFW (g)	1.2a	0.7-1.6	0.2	1.2a	0.6 - 2.2	0.4	1.4a	0.9-2.2 0	. 3	1.2a	. 1.2
OTDM (%)	29.9a	12.4-44.	6 8.3	32.5a	19.6-46.2	7.1	34.1a	18.0-53.3	7.4	23.9a	31.4
OTWM (%)	9.6a	2.6-19.1	4.6	11.4a	4.9-22.2	4.3	11.6a	4.1-23.3	4.4	6.6a	10.5
FTB (%)	16.2a	5.3 - 28.0	6.0	16.5a	10.6 - 23.1	3.6	19.9a	7.2-31.5	6.4	21.1a	16.8
OTB (%)	1.3a	0.2-4.0	1.1	1.6a	0.6-4.1	0.8	1.9a	$0.6 \operatorname{-} 5.3 \hspace{0.1in} 1$. 1	1.2a	1.5

TABLE 2a. MEANS, RANGES AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS OF FERTILE AND PARTHENOCARPIC BUNCH COMPONENTS OF *Oleifera* PHOGENIES FROM FOUR COUNTRIES

Notes:

Means with the **same** letter in the same row are **not** significantly different at P<0.05 by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT). Number of progenies(n) = 22, 18, 21 and 1 far Colombia, Panama, Costa Rica and Honduras, respectively (1-2 analyses per palm). Recorded from 1991 to 1994 in Trial 0.211, planted in 1986 in Kluang. MFW mean fruit weight. MNW mean nut weight. MTF mesocarp to fruit. KTF kernel to fruit. **STF shell** to fruit. OTDMoil to dry mesocarp.OTWMoil to wet mesocarp.FTBfruit to bunch.OTBoil to bunch.KTBkernel to bunch.

TABLE	2b. MEAN	SQUAR	RES, Y	VARIANC	E COMPONEN	TS A	٨ND	HERITABILITY	ESTIMATES
OF	NCM1 AN	ALYSIS	FOR	BUNCH	COMPONENTS	OF	PAR	THENOCARPC	FRUITS

Source	df	MFW	OTDM	OTWM	FTB	ОТВ
country (C)	3	0.28*	188.48	58.80*	16.61	2.24*
Progeny/country	(P/C) 58	0.15^{*}	86.63	27.91	8.13	1.32^{*}
Palms (W)	61	0.09	74.23	19.69	6.92	0.70
σ^2_{c}		0.004	3.60	1.05	0.30	0.03
		(3.48)	(4.27)	(4.22)	(3.80)	(2.70)
$\sigma^2_{p/c}$		0.03	6.36	4.21	0.62	0.32
		(26.28)	(7.55)	(16.89)	(7.86)	(30.59)
σ^2_{w}		0.09	74.23	19.69	6.92	0.70
		(70.24)	(88.18)	(78.89)	(88.34)	(66.71)
$\mathbf{h}^2_{\ c}$		13.92	17.08	16.88	15.21	10.79

Notes:

*, ** Significant at P \leq 0.05 and P \leq 0.01 respectively, otherwise non-significant.

()⁺ Variance component as percentage of phenotypic variance.

Negative estimate for which the most reasonable value is zero.

Recorded from 1991-1994 in Trial 0.211, planted in 1986 in Kluang.

Source	df	MFW	MNW	MTF	KTF	STF	OTDM	отwм	FTB	ОТВ	КТВ
country (C)	3	1.27^{*}	0.86**	36.84	9.20	43.14^{*}	150.02	86.72	43.10	2.03	0.14
Progeny/Country (P/C)	58	0.70*	0.34**	18.65	6.09	11.14	77.85	45.80	98.54	0.96	2.72
Palms (W)	61	0.18	0.08	15.09	5.03	9.41	81.58	33.95	68.50	0.82	1.86
σ^2_{c}		0.02	0.02	0.63	0.10	1.15	2.65	1.39	0	0.04	0
-		(3.52)	(7.55)	(3.60)	(1.84)	(10.02)	(3.15)	(3.35)	(0)	(4.03)	(0)
$\sigma^2_{p/c}$		0.27	0.13	1.82	0.54	0.89	0	6.08	15.40	0.07	0.44
-		(57.30)	(59.30)	(10.39)	(9.57)	(7.76)	(0)	(14.68)	(18.35)	(7.66)	(19.01)
σ^2_{w}		0.18	0.08	15.09	5.03	9.41	81.58	33.95	68.50	0.82	1.86
w		(39.18)	(33.16)	(86.01)	(88.59)	(82.21)	(96.85)	(81.97)	(81.65)	(88.31)	(80.99)
h ² _c		14.09	30.18	14.38	7.34	40.09	12.59	13.40	0	16.13	0

TABLE 2c. MEAN SQUARES, VARIANCE COMPONENTS AND HERITABILITY ESTIMATES OF NCM1 ANALYSIS FOR BUNCH COMPONENTS OF FERTILE FRUITS

Notes:

*, ** Significant at P \leq 0.05 and P \leq 0.01 respectively, otherwise non-significant.

()* Variance component as percentage of phenotypic variance.

Negative estimate for which the most reasonable value is zero.

Recorded from 1991-1994 in Trial 0.211, planted in 1986 in Kluang.

75

TABLE 3.	MEANS,	RANGES	AND	STANDARD	DEVI	ATIONS	OF	OIL	AND
KERNEL	YIELD	OF Oleife	ra PH	ROGENIES	FROM	FOUR	COU	INTRI	ES

Trait	C	olombia		Pa	anama		Со	sta Rica	I	Ionduras	Trial
	Mean	Range	SD	Mean	Range	S D	Mean	Range	SD	mean	mean
TOTB (%)	2.9a	0.8-6.5	1.5	3.4a	1.3-6.1	1.3	3.9a	1.7-9.0 1	.5	2.4a	3.4
OPY (kg palm ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	2.4a	0.6 - 4.5	1.0	2.7a	1.1-4.6	1.0	2.9a	1.2-7.7 1	.3	1.7a	2.6
KPY (kg palm ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	3.3a	1.4 -5.5	1.1	3.1a	1.4 - 5.0	1.0	3.4a	1.4-8.8 1	.7	3.3a	3.3
TEP (kg palm ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	4.2a	1.9-6.5	1.3	4.6a	2.3-6.9	1.3	5.0a	2.6-8.9 1 .	5	3.8a	4.6
TOTOIL (kg palm ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	3.9a	1.6-6.0	1.2	4.2a	2.2 - 6.5	1.2	4.7a	2.3-8.7 1	.4	3.4a	4.3

Notes:

Means with the same letter in the same row are not significantly different at P<0.05 by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT). Number of progenies (n) = 22, 18, 21 and 1 for Colombia, Panama, Costa Rica and Honduras. respectively.

Planted in 1986 in Trial 0.211, Kluang.

TEP total economic product. TOTOIL total oil.

	-		ANO,	Oleifera	PROGENIES F	ROM	FOUR (NS OF VEGE			S OF		
		Colombia			Panama			Costa Rica			Honduras		Tria
	Mean	Range	SD	Mean	Range	\mathbf{SD}	Mean	Range	ß	Mean	Range	\mathbf{SD}	mea
(16.5a	12.7-18.8	1.4	16.4a	14.4 - 17.9	0.8	16.6a	12.6 - 32.5	3.2	16.5a	15.4 - 17.6	0.8	16.
	4.3a	3.8-4.6	0.1	4 .2a	4.0 - 4.5	0.1	4.1a	3.6 - 4.8	0.3	4 .1a	3.6 - 4.3	0.2	4
	107.1a	92.0-116.4	4.7	111.2a	100.0 - 120.6	5.1	106.4a	89.4 - 118.0	6.3	112.1a	104.2 - 119.8	5.1	108.
	5.3a	4.4-5.7	0.3	5.2a	4,9 - 5.6	0.2	5.1a	4.4 - 5.9	0.3	5.0a	4.2 - 5.3	0.4	ю
	128a	110.0-135.0	5.0	128a	115.0 - 137.0	5.0	130a	117.0 - 147.0	6.9	129a	123.0 - 133.0	4.4	129.

Trait		Colombia			Panama			Costa Rica			Ionduras		Trial
	Mean	Range	$^{\mathrm{sd}}$	Mean	Range	\mathbf{SD}	Mean	Range	SD	Mean	Range	SD	mean
PCS (cm ²)	16.5a	12.7-18.8	1.4	16.4a	14.4 - 17.9	0.8	16.6a	12.6 - 32.5	3.2	16.5a	15.4 - 17.6	0.8	16.5
RL (m)	4.3a	3.8-4.6	0.1	4.2a	4.0 - 4.5	0.1	4.1a	3.6 - 4.8	0.3	4 .1a	3.6 - 4.3	0.2	4.2
LL (cm)	107.1a	92.0-116.4	4.7	111.2a	100.0 - 120.6	5.1	106.4a	89.4 - 118.0	6.3	112.1a	104.2 - 119.8	5.1	108.7
LW (cm)	5.3a	4.4-5.7	0.3	5.2a	4.9 - 5.6	0.2	5.1a	4.4 - 5.9	0.3	5.0a	4.2 - 5.3	0.4	5.2
LN (No.)	128a	110.0-135.0	5.0	128a	115.0 - 137.0	5.0	130a	117.0 - 147.0	6.9	129a	123.0 - 133.0	4.4	129.0
HT (cm)	66.0a	42.0-81.0	0.1	75.0a	59.0 - 90.0	0.1	83.0a	54.0 - 141.0	0.2	68.0a	59.0 - 84.0	0.1	75.0
HTI (cm yr ⁻¹)*	7.4b	4.6-9.0	1.0	8.3ab	6.6 - 10.0	0.9	9.2a	6.0 - 15.7	1.8	7.6ab	6.6 - 9.3	1.0	8.3
$LA \ (cm^2)$	8.4a	6.3-9.6	0.7	8.6a	7.4 - 9.6	0.6	8.2a	6.2 - 9.4	0.8	8.2a	6.5 - 9.7	1.1	8.4
Notes: Means w	vith the	same letter i	n the (same row	are not signifi	cantly	v differer	at P<0.05	ľ	S - Defi	ole cross secti		
by Dunc	an's Mu	ıltiple Range	Test	(DMRT).	1				. 23	- rach	uis length.		
$= ILH_{*}$	(height :	at year t)/(t-2	2), acci	ording to	Breure and Po	owell	(1988) w	where $t = 11$		leaf	let length.		
for Trial	0.211.								1	W - leaf	let width.		
Number	of prog	enies $(n) = 2i$	8, 24,	38 and 5	for Colombia,	Pana	ma. Cos	ta Rica and	ដ	V - leaf	let number.		
Hondura	is, respe	sctively.							Ħ	Γ - heiε	rht.		
Recorded	in 195	97 in Trial 0.	211, p	lanted in	1986 in Klua	ng.			ΈΗ	TI - heiε Λ - leaf	cht increment let area.	rate.	

TEP and TOTOIL were also from Costa Rica with values of 9.0%, 7.7, 8.8, 8.9 and 8.7 kg palm-i **yr**⁻¹ respectively.

For vegetative traits, among the 95 oleifera progenies, the smallest PCS at 12.6 cm², RL at 3.6 cm, LL at 89.4 cm and LA at 6.2 cm^2 were recorded by progenies from Costa Rica. Progenies from Colombia recorded the smallest leaflet number (LN) at 110 and lowest HTI at 4.6 cm yr¹ whereas progenies from Honduras recorded the smallest LW at 4.2 cm (Table 4a). Statistical analysis showed significant differences between countries for PCS, RL, LL, LW, HT and LA with h_{a}^{2} of 7.8%, 11.6%, 14.0%, 1.4%, 21.1% and 7.8%, respectively (*Table 4b*).

Progenies from Colombia recorded the highest palmitoleic acid (C16:1) content at 1.6% among the 85 *oleifera* progenies screened. The highest lauric (C12:0) at 1.0%, stearic (C18:0) at 2.9%, linoleic (C18:2) at 26.9% and arachidic (C20:0) acids at 1.2% were recorded in progenies from Panama. Progenies from Costa Rica recorded the highest myristic (C14:0), palmitic (C16:0), oleic (C18:1) and linolenic (C18:3) acids with values of 1.0%, 24.1%, 68.7% and 1.9%, respectively. The highest I.V. at 96.7 and carotene content at 3455.5 ppm were recorded in progenies from Panama and Costa Rica, respectively (Table 5a). Except for the C12:0, C18:3 and C20:0 acids, statistical analysis showed significant differences between countries for C14:0, C16:0, C16:1, C18:0, C18:1, C18:2, I.V. and carotene, with h² of 12.0%, 34.9%, 8.1%, 10.1%, 59.1%, 25.8%, 13.3% and 16.1%, respectively (Table 5b).

CONCLUSION

This comparative study showed significant differences between E. oleifera collected from Panama, Costa Rica, Colombia and Honduras for the yield components, ABWT, parthenocarpic fruit weight and oil content, fertile fruit and nut weight, shell thickness, frond and leaflet traits as well as HT, principal fatty acids (C14:0, C16:0, C16:1, C18:0, C18:1 and C18:2), I.V. and total carotene content.

Source	d f	PCS	RL	LL	LW	LN	HT	LA
Replication (R)	2	1 810.55	22.31	35 473.26	153.94	22 898.35	6.97	827.17
country (C)	3	93.68*	3.45^{**}	³ 562.29**	7.18**	705.88	2.24**	58.14**
Progeny/Country	(P/C) 72	24.98*	0.46	569.83**	0.78	420.03	0.20	8.52
C x R	6	3.89	0.73*	424.76	1.00	729.08	0.20	18.48*
P/C x R	144	13.00	0.30	166.04	0.60	454.05	0.11	5.17
Palms (W)	1 757	14.19	0.31	267.33	0.68	529.21	0.13	6.62
Pooled error (WP)	1 901	14.10	0.30	259.66	0.68	523.51	0.12	6.51
$\sigma^2_{\ c}$		0.29 (1.94)	0.009 (2.90)	10.12 (3.50)	0.002 (0.34)	0.04 (0)	0.007 (5.27)	0.14 (1.94)
$\sigma^2_{\ p/c}$		0.47 (3.18)	0.007 (2.28)	15.91 (5.50)	0.007 (1.04)	0 (0)	0.003 (2.56)	0.13 (1.91)
$\sigma^2_{\ cr}$		0 (0)	0.005 (1.60)	2.87 (1.00)	0.004 (0.65)	3.06 (0.58)	0.001 (0.73)	0.15 (2.13)
$\sigma^2_{(p'c)r}$		0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
σ^2_{w}								
$\sigma^2_{_{wp}}$		14.10 (94.88)	0.30 (93.23)	259.66 (90.00)	0.68 (97.98)	523.51 (99.41)	0.12 (91.44)	6.51 (94.02)
h ² _c		7.76	11.60	14.00	1.36	0	21.08	7.76
$h^2_{\ p}$		12.72	9.12	22.00	4.16	0	10.24	7.64
$\mathbf{h}^2_{\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{p}}$		10.24	10.36	18.00	2.76	0	15.66	7.70

 TABLE 4b. MEAN SQUARES, VARIANCE COMPONENTS AND HERITABILITY

 ESTIMATES OF NCM1 ANALYSIS FOR VEGETATIVE TRAITS

*, ** Significant at $P \le 0.05$ and $P \le 0.01$ respectively, otherwise non-significant.

()⁺ Variance component as percentage of phenotypic variance.

Harmonic mean for palms in progeny/rep (n') and P/C (p')= 4.98 and 3.29, respectively.

Negative estimate for which the most reasonable value is zero.

Recorded in 1997 in Trial 0.211, planted in 1986 in Kluang.

Trait	Colombia		Panama				Costa Rica			Honduras			
	Mean	Range	SD	Меан	n Range	SD	Mean	Range	SD	Mean	Range	SD	mean
C12:0 (%)	0.0a	0. 0- 0. 2	0.0	0.1a	0.0-1.0	0.2	0.0a	0.0-0.1	0.0	0.0a	0.0-0.1	0.0	0.0
C14:0 (%)	0.1a	0.1-0.3	0.0	0.2a	0.1-0.6	0.1	0.2a	0.1-1.0	0.2	0.2a	0.2-0.3	0.0	0.2
C16:0 (%)	16.9b	15.0-19.8	1.3	18.6ab	X.3-24.1	2.1	17.8b	16.4-24.1	1.6	20.7a	19.4-21.7	0.9	17.9
C16:1 (%)	1.1a	0.7-1.6	0. 2	0.9a	0.0-1.3	0.2	1.1a	0.7-1.5	0.2	1.0a	0.9-1.1	0.1	1.0
C18:0 (%)	0.9a	0.4-1.5	0.2	1.1a	0.0-2.9	0.6	0.9a	0.4-1.7	0.2	1.3a	1.1-1.6	0.2	1.0
C18:1 (%)	62.9a	58.1-68.0	2.0	60.1a	53.7-65.2	2.5	60.4a	56.4-68.7	2.5	55.5b	53.5-57.3	1.7	60.9
C18:2 (%)	17.8a	14.4-19.9	1.4	19.0a	13.7-26.9	2.4	19.2a	11.9-23.5	2.4	20.8a	19.0-22.2	1.2	18.8
C18:3 (%)	0.7a	0.1-1.2	0.2	0.8a	0.4-1.1	0.2	0.9a	0.0-1.9	0.3	0.9a	0.5-1.1	0.2	0.8
C20:0 (%)	0.2a	0.0-1.0	0.3	0.2a	0.0-1.2	0.4	0.2a	0.0-1.1	0.3	0.4a	0.1-1.2	0.5	0.2
Others (%)	0.2a	0.0-0.4	0.1	0.2a	0.0-0.6	0.1	0.2a	0.0-0.8	0.2	0.1a	0.0-0.3	0.1	0.2
Iodine value	87.2a	82.5-90.1	1.9	86.4a	76.0-96.7	3.7	87.7a	74.9-93.6	3.5	86.0a	83.5-87.6	1.6	81.1
Carotene 1 content (ppm)	444.9ab	791.2-3 003.5	5 525.4 1	710.3a 🤅	644.6-2 858.8	563.9 1	727.8a (3 79.7-3 455.5	630.9 <u>1</u>	112.7b 🗧	328.6-I 654.0	561.5 1	609.3

 TABLE 5a.
 MEANS, RANGES AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS OF FATTY ACID COMPONENTS, IODINE

 VALUE AND CAROTENE CONTENT OF *Qleifera* PROGENIES FROM FOUR COUNTRIES

Means with the same letter in the same row are not significantly different at P<0.05 hy Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT). Number of progenies (n) = 21, 24, 30 and 4 for Colombia, Panama, Costa **Rica** and Honduras, respectively (1-2 analyses per palm). Recorded from 1991 to 1991 in Trial 0.211, planted in 1986 in Kluang.

C12:0 lauric acid.

C14:0 myristic acid.

C16:0 palmitic acid.

C16:1 palmitoleic acid.

C18:0 stearic acid.

C18:1 oleic acid.

C18:2 linoleic acid.

C18:3 • linolenic acid.

C20:0 arachidic acid.

	TAB NCM1	TABLE 5b. MEAN SQUARES, VARIANCE COMPONENTS AND HERITABILITY ESTIMATES OF NCM1 ANALYSIS FOR FATTY ACID COMPONENTS. IODINE VALUE AND CAROTENE CONTENT										
Source	d	f C12:0	C14:0	C16:0	C16:1	C18:0	C18:1	C18:2	C18:3	C20:0	I.V.	Carotene
country (C)	3	0.003	0.10*	155.50**	1.48*	1.92*	754.31**	127.00**	0.50	0.01	443.22*	7.00**
Progeny/Country (P/C)	81	0.002		12.48	0.41	0.49	36.81	15.72	0.32	0.04	96.02	1.22*
Palms (W)	399	0.003	0.02	13.11	0.46	0.49	36.14	13.69	0.30	0.04	85.42	1.21*
σ^2_{c}		0.00001 (0.35)	0.001 (3.00)	1.25 (8.74)	0.01 (2.02)	0.01 (2.53)	6.25 (14.77)	0.97 (6.45)	0.001 (0.48)	0 (0)	3.01 (3.34)	50663 (4.02)
$\sigma^2_{p/c}$		0 (0)	0.0004 (1.90)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0.0002 (0.03)	0.12 (0.28)	0.36 (2.41)	0.004 (1.38)	0 (0)	1.89 (2.10)	1060.35 (0.08)
σ^2_{w}		0.003 (99. 65)	0.02 (95.09)	13.11 (91.26)	0.46 (97.98)	0.49 (97.44)	36.14 (84.95)	13.69 (91.14)	0.30 (98.15)	0.04 (100.00)	85.42 (94.58)	1209265 (95.90)
$\mathbf{h_{c}^{2}}$		1.38	12.01	34.94	8.08	10.11	59.08	25.82	1.90	0	13.34	16.07

79

*, ** Significant at $P \le 0.05$ and $P \le 0.01$ respectively, otherwise non-significant.

()* Variance component **as** percentage of **phenotypic** variance. Negative estimate for which the most reasonable value is zero.

[#] Denotes ms value x 10⁶.

Recorded from 1991-1997 in Trial 0.211, planted in 1986 in Kluang.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank the Director-General of MPOB for permission to publish this paper.

REFERENCES

BLAAK, G; SPARNAAIJ, L D and MENENDEZ, T (1963). Breeding and inheritance in the oil palm (*Elaeis* guineensis Jacq.). II. Methods of bunch quality analysis. *J. W. Afr. Inst. Oil Palm Res.*, 4:146-155.

BREURE, C J and POWELL, M S C (1988). The one-shot method of establishing growth parameters in oil palm. In *Proceedings of 1987 International Oil Palm/Palm Oil Conference-Agriculture*. p. 203-209.

CHOO, Y M; MA, A N and YAP, S C (1997). Carotenes, vitamin E and sterols in oils from *E. guineensis, E. oleifera* and their hybrids. *Palm Oil Developments No.* 27:1-9.

CHOO, Y M and YUSOF, B (1996). *Elaeis oleifera* palm for the pharmaceutical industry. *PORIM Information Series No.* 42:1-4.

CORLEY, R H V and BREURE, C J (1981). *Measurements in Oil Palm Experiments*. Internal Report. Unipamol Malaysia Sdn. Bhd. and Harrisons Fleming Advisory Service, p. 15.

de BLANK, S (1952). A reconnaisance of the American oil palm. *Trop. Agric. Trin.*, 29:90-101.

HARDON, J J (1969). Interspecific hybrids in the genus Elaeis. II. Vegetative growth and yield of F1 hybrids *Elaeis guineensis* **x** *E. oleifera*. *Euphytica*, 18:380-388.

MEUNIER, J (1987). Prospects of *E. oleifera* **x** *E.* guineensis Interspecific Hybrids • IRHO results. In *Proceedings of ISOPB/PORIM Workshop on Prospects of Interspecific* Hybrids (Soh, A C and Rajanaidu, N, eds.). p. 11-15.

PORIM (1995). *PORIM Test Methods* (Siew, W L; Tang, T S and Tan, Y A eds.). Palm Oil Research Institute of Malaysia, Bangi. p. 1, 43-44, 72-75, 92-101.

RAJANAIDU, N (1983). Collection of oil 'palm (Elaeis *oleifera*) genetic material in Central and South America. *PORIM Bulletin* No. 6: l-11.

RAJANAIDU, N; RAO, V and KUSHAIRI, A (1989). Genetic analysis of yield, vegetative growth and fatty acid composition (FAC) in interspecific (*Elaeis oleifera* x *E. guineensis*) hybrids. In *Proceedings of ISOPB/PORIM Workshop on Prospects of Interspecific Hybrids* (Soh, A C and Rajanaidu, N eds.). p. 28-39.

RAO, V; SOH, A C; CORLEY, R H V; LEE, C H; RAJANAIDU, N; TAN, Y P; CHIN, C W; LIM, K C; TAN, S **T**; LEE, T P and NGUI, M (1983). A critical reexamination of the method of bunch quality analysis in oil palm breeding. *PORIM Occasional Paper No.* 9:1-28.